IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST 2007 / 12TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22279 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

N.RAVEENDRAN, S/O.NARAYANAN, MANGALATHU VEEDU, SAKTHIKULANGARA, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.VINOY VARGHESE KALLUMOOTTILL

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. CHIEF TOWN PLANNER, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. KOLLAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, CORPORATION OFFICE, CHINNAKKADA, KOLLAM.

4. SECRETARY, KOLLAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, KOLLAM.

5. TOWN PLANNING OFFICER, KOLLAM.

BY ADV.SRI.M.K.CHANDRAMOHANDAS, SC.
GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BASANTH BALAJI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 22279 OF 2007 B

Dated this the 3rd August, 2007

JUDGMENT

A final order was passed against the petitioner under Section 406(3) of the Kerala Municipality Act preceded by a provisional order in the year 2004. The only prayer made by the petitioner is that the fourth respondent Secretary may consider the question of regularisation. I heard the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent Municipal Corporation also. Of course, he points out that the final order was passed in 2004. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner filed an application seeking regularisation, apparently under Rule 143 read with Section 406, but it is not being entertained. The Writ Petition is disposed of directing the fourth respondent Secretary to take a decision on the application seeking regularisation strictly in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the application, if it is filed within one week from today.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.